

1002 King Street

Charleston, South Carolina

Grades 6-10 High School

Enrollment 299 Students

PrincipalTamara Kirshtein843-720-3085SuperintendentDr. Nancy J. McGinley843-937-6319Board ChairMrs. Ruth Jordan843-345-4529

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD					
	YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING		
	2010	N/A	N/A		
	2009	N/A	N/A		
	2008	N/A	N/A		
	2007	N/A	N/A		
	2006	N/A	N/A		

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
4	17	12	0	0			

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/24/2011.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students							
Our High School High Schools wi Students Like Ou							
Percent	2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010	
Passed 2 subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	90.4%	82.7%	77.7%	80.5%	
Passed 1 subtest (%)	N/A	N/A	9.6%	9.8%	12.0%	10.9%	
Passed no subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.7%	10.3%	8.9%	

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2010							
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	N/A	91.2%					

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate							
Our High School High Schools with Students Like Ou							
	2009*	2010	2009*	2010			
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	N/A	N/A	N/A	321			
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	N/A	N/A	235			
Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	72.3%			

^{*}Used to calculate current AYP.

End of Course Tests							
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	78.9%	76.4%					
English 1	94.3%	70.7%					
Physical Science	68.8%	61.7%					
US History and the Constitution		48.3%					
All Tests	82.7%	63.9%					

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=299)				
Retention rate	2.8%	N/A	2.9%	3.7%
Attendance rate	95.9%	N/A	95.6%	95.4%
Eligible for gifted and talented	28.6%	N/A	16.3%	12.4%
With disabilities other than speech	2.0%	N/A	12.5%	12.8%
Older than usual for grade	1.7%	N/A	7.7%	9.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	5.4%	N/R	1.4%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	N/R	N/R	18.2%	13.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	59.1%	50.4%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	N/R	N/R	33.2%	30.4%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	N/A	3.4%	3.1%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	N/A	1.4%	2.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	101	N/A	516	424
Students participating in work-based experiences	0.0%	N/A	11.7%	11.7%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	78.2%	N/A	79.6%	78.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	98.5%
Teachers (n=25)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	48.0%	N/A	63.9%	60.4%
Continuing contract teachers	36.0%	N/A	80.0%	76.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	12.5%	N/A	4.7%	6.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	87.7%	86.8%
Teacher attendance rate	96.7%	N/R	96.1%	95.8%
Average teacher salary*	\$40,585	I/S	\$48,699	\$47,390
Professional development days/teacher	7.8 days	N/R	10.0 days	10.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	0.0	N/R	5.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	19.1 to 1	N/R	28.8 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.3%	N/R	91.1%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,350	N/A	\$7,436	\$7,974
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	46.7%	N/A	56.2%	55.4%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	66.0%	N/A	60.2%	60.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/R	Excellent	Excellent
SACS accreditation	No	N/R	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	84.8%	N/R	93.6%	96.0%
Character development program	Average	N/R	Good	Good
Modern language program assessment	N/A	N/A	Excellent	Average
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Average

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

				urse Tests ge Rate	On-time	On-time Graduation Rate, 2010	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met AYP Objective
All Students	N/A	N/A	156	82.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gender							
Male	N/A	N/A	91	85.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	65	78.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	77	93.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	N/A	N/A	70	68.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	58	70.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

CCSMS began its second year on August 18, 2009, with an enrollment of approximately 290 students in grades 6 – 10. At the end of the school year, there were 287 students. There were 23 full-time and two part-time teachers on the faculty. The school was within the charter requirement in that at least 75% of the full-time faculty held professional certification. In addition, 96% were Highly Qualified.

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	13	0	0			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.3%	N/R	N/R			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.3%	N/R	N/R			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	N/R	N/R			

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

N/A

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.8%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.6%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	22.0%	0.0%	No

HSAP Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langua	ge Arts	- State	Perfor	mance	Object	ive = 7	1.3% (F	roficie	nt or Ac	lvanced	d)
All Students	52	100	3.9	15.7	51	29.4	90.2	69.8	65.9	Yes	Yes
Male	23	100	9.1	9.1	59.1	22.7	90.9	67.3	60.8	N/A	N/A
Female	29	100	0	20.7	44.8	34.5	89.7	72.3	71	N/A	N/A
White	22	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	91.6	77.5	Yes	Yes
African American	28	100	7.4	18.5	51.9	22.2	81.5	48.4	49.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.2	80.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	62.6	56.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	65.9	I/S	I/S
Disabled	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	28.3	21.3	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.7	47.3	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	22	100	9.1	13.6	45.5	31.8	86.4	50.2	51.5	Yes	Yes
Mathemati	cs - Sta	te Perf	formand	ce Obie	ctive =	70.0%	(Profic	ient or	Advanc	ed)	
All Students	52	100	5.9	37.3	29.4	27.5	74.5	64.2	62.3	Yes	Yes
Male	23	100	0	31.8	27.3	40.9	86.4	66.5	61.7	N/A	N/A
Female	29	100	10.3	41.4	31	17.2	65.5	62	63	N/A	N/A
White	22	100	0	18.2	36.4	45.5	90.9	86.8	75	Yes	Yes
African American	28	100	11.1	48.1	25.9	14.8	59.3	41.8	44	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	87.2	85.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.9	56.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	62.5	I/S	I/S
Disabled	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	25.7	22.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.3	52.6	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	22	100	4.5	31.8	40.9	22.7	77.3	43.1	48.1	Yes	Yes
	!	l	'	ļ	'	'	ļ	l	'	ļ	
Phy	ysical S	cience	(End-	of-Cour	se Tes	t perfor	mance	bv Gro	(au		
All Students	52	92.3	64.6	18.8	6.3	10.4	16.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	23	91.3	47.6	19.0	14.3	19.0	33.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	29	93.1	77.8	18.5	0.0	3.7	3.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	22	100.0	40.9	27.3	13.6	18.2	31.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	28	85.7	83.3	12.5	0.0	4.2	4.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	14// 1	","	,,,	,,,	,,,	,,,	,,,	14// (14// 1	14// 1	14// (

N/A

N/A

1

22

I/S

I/S

I/S

90.9

I/S

I/S

I/S

65.0

I/S

I/S

I/S

20.0

I/S

I/S

I/S

5.0

I/S

I/S

I/S

10.0

Disabled

Migrant

Limited English Proficient

Subsidized meals

I/S

I/S

I/S

15.0

N/A

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data											
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 71.3% (Proficient or Advanced)											
All Students	2009	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	
	2010	52	100	3.9	15.7	51	29.4	90.2	69.8	65.9	
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)											
All Students	2009	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	
	2010	52	100	5.9	37.3	29.4	27.5	74.5	64.2	62.3	

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.